arXiv:math/0210192v1 [math.GR] 13 Oct 2002

ON QUASIVARITIES OF GROUPS AND EQUATIONS OVER GROUPS

S.V. IVANOV

Abstract. We prove that the quasivariety of groups generated by finite and locally indicable groups does not contain the class of periodic groups. This result is related to (and inspired by) the solvability of equations over groups. The proof uses the Feit-Thompson theorem on the solvability of finite groups of odd order, Kostrikin-Zelmanov results on the restricted Burnside problem and applies techninal details of a recent construction of weakly finitely presented periodic groups.

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ be a countably infinite alphabet and $U_1, \dots, U_n, V$ be words in $\mathcal{X}^{\pm 1} = \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}^{-1}$ (called $\mathcal{X}$-*words*). A *quasiidentity* is an expression of the form

$$(U_1 = 1 \wedge \cdots \wedge U_m = 1) \Rightarrow V = 1,$$

where $\wedge$ and $\Rightarrow$ are the signs of conjunction and implication, respectively. A quasiidentity holds in a group G if it is a true formula for any substitution $g_i \to x_i$, where $g_i \in G$, $i = 1, 2 \dots$. A *quasivariety* of groups is the class of groups defined by a set of quasiidentities; that is, the class of all groups in which every quasiidentity of a given set holds (see [23] for more details). For example, the quasiidentity $x^2 = 1 \to x = 1$ defines the class of groups without involutions.

Recall that an *equation* over a group G with an unknown y is an expression of the form

$$w(y, G) = y^{\varepsilon_1} g_1 \dots y^{\varepsilon_\ell} g_\ell = 1, \tag{1}$$

where $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_\ell \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $g_1, \dots, g_\ell \in G$. The elements $g_1, \dots, g_\ell$ of G are called the *coefficients* and ℓ is the *length* of equation (1). The equation (1) is called *solvable* if there is a group H that contains G as its subgroup and there is an element $h \in H$ so that the substitution $h \to y$ in (1) results in the equality $w(h, G) = 1$ in H. Clearly, the equation (1) is solvable if and only if G naturally embeds in the quotient of the free product $\langle y \rangle_\infty * G$ by relation (1), where $\langle y \rangle_\infty$ is the infinite cyclic group generated by y. The equation (1) is called *nonsingular* if $\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_\ell \neq 0$ (otherwise, it is *singular*).

It is a well-known conjecture of Kervaire and Laudenbach that every nonsingular equation is solvable over any group G. We will say that the equation (1) is *strongly solvable* over a group G if it is solvable for an arbitrary ℓ-tuple $(g_1, \dots, g_\ell)$ of elements of G. Clearly, the Kervaire-Laudenbach conjecture is equivalent to its strong version, that is, the Kervaire-Laudenbach conjecture holds if and only if every nonsingular equation is strongly solvable over any group G. It is also easy to see that if the equation (1) is strongly solvable over a nontrivial group G then this equation is nonsingular.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20E06, 20F05, 20F06, 20F50.

Supported in part by NSF grants DMS 98-01500, DMS 00-99612.

The following establishes a link between foregoing definitions.

Proposition 1. *Let* $\mathcal{K}(w(y,G))$ *denote the class of groups over which equation* (1) *is strongly solvable. Then* $\mathcal{K}(w(y,G))$ *is a quasivariety of groups whose quasiidentities can be written using* ℓ *letters* $x_1, \dots, x_\ell$*, where* ℓ *is the length of equation* (1)*. Furthermore, the class of groups over which all nonsingular equations are solvable is a quasivariety of groups (denoted by* $\operatorname{Qvar}\mathcal{E}$*).*

It was proved by Gerstenhaber and Rothaus [11] that the Kervaire-Laudenbach conjecture holds for finite groups, and so every finite group is in $\operatorname{Qvar}\mathcal{E}$. By Proposition 1, this result can be stated as follows: $\operatorname{Qvar}\mathcal{F} \subseteq \operatorname{Qvar}\mathcal{E}$, where $\operatorname{Qvar}\mathcal{F}$ is the quasivariety generated by all finite groups ($\operatorname{Qvar}\mathcal{F}$ consists of all those groups in which quasiidentities of finite groups hold).

It was asked by Klyachko whether every periodic group G is in $\operatorname{Qvar}\mathcal{F}$; this would imply that every nonsingular equation is solvable over G. Note that any locally finite group, the finitely generated infinite periodic groups constructed by Golod [7] and the subsequent examples of Aleshin [2], Sushchanskii [26], Grigorchuk [8], Gupta and Sidki [12], [10], are all in $\operatorname{Qvar}\mathcal{F}$, because they are either locally finite or residually finite.

We also mention that, in view of Proposition 1, one possible way of proving the Kervaire-Laudenbach conjecture would be to prove it for groups in a class $\mathcal{K}$ which generates the quasivariety of all groups. In this regard, recall that Howie [13] (see also Brodski's articles [5], [3], [4]) proved the Kervaire-Laudenbach conjecture for locally indicable groups (a group G is called *locally indicable* if every nontrivial finitely generated subgroup H of G has an infinite cyclic homomorphic image). Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the class of locally indicable groups. By Proposition 1, $\operatorname{Qvar}\mathcal{L} \subseteq \operatorname{Qvar}\mathcal{E}$. Since it is also true that $\operatorname{Qvar}(\mathcal{F}\cup\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \operatorname{Qvar}\mathcal{E}$, it follows that the Kervaire-Laudenbach conjecture would be proven if one could show that $\operatorname{Qvar}(\mathcal{F}\cup\mathcal{L})$ is the quasivariety of all groups. To address these natural questions, we will prove the following.

Theorem. *Let* $n > 2^{16}$ *be odd and* $\mathcal{K}$ *be a class of groups such that if* $A \in \mathcal{K}$ *and* B *is a finitely generated subgroup of exponent* n *in* A *then* B *is finite (in particular,* $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{F}$ *or* $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{F}\cup\mathcal{L}$*). Then there is an infinite finitely generated group of exponent* n^3 *which is not contained in the quasivariety* $\operatorname{Qvar}\mathcal{K}$ *of groups generated by* $\mathcal{K}$*.*

To prove this Theorem we will use some of technical results of article [16] whose construction is based upon [15] (see Lemmas 1, 2 below). We will also use fundamental results of Feit and Thompson [6] on the solvability of finite groups of odd order and those of Kostrikin [19, 20] and Zelmanov [27] on the restricted Burnside problem for finite groups of prime power exponent (together with the Hall-Higman reduction [14] and the classification of finite simple groups; for $n = p^\alpha$, where p is a prime, our proof becomes simpler and uses only Kostrikin-Zelmanov results [19, 20], [27]).

As an immediate corollary of this Theorem, we have negative answers to both Klyachko's question and the question whether every group is in $\operatorname{Qvar}(\mathcal{F}\cup\mathcal{L})$.

Corollary. *The quasivariety* $\operatorname{Qvar}(\mathcal{F}\cup\mathcal{L})$ *generated by finite groups and locally indicable groups does not contain the class of periodic groups.*

We remark in passing that no results are known that deal with the solvability of nonsingular equations over free Burnside groups of exponent $n \gg 1$, Tarski monsters and other nonlocally finite groups of bounded exponent (see [1], [25], [17], [15]). It is not even known whether there are such groups in $\operatorname{Qvar} \mathcal{E}$. In this connection, observe that every group G has a largest homomorphic image $G/\mathcal{E}(G) \in \operatorname{Qvar} \mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E}(G)$ is the normal subgroup of G generated by values of the right parts of the quasiidentities of $\operatorname{Qvar} \mathcal{E}$ whose left parts are true in G. Therefore, the question whether there exists an infinite m-generator group of exponent n in $\operatorname{Qvar} \mathcal{E}$ (resp. in $\operatorname{Qvar} \mathcal{F}$) is equivalent to the question whether the quotient $B(m,n)/\mathcal{E}(B(m,n))$ (resp. $B(m,n)/\mathcal{F}(B(m,n))$) is infinite, where $B(m,n)$ is a free m-generator Burnside group of exponent n, that is, $B(m,n) = F_m/F_m^n$ and F_m is a free group of rank m. These problems seem to be rather interesting new versions of the Burnside problem (which have some similarity with the restricted Burnside problem, see also Problem 3).

Problem 1. *Does there exist an infinite m-generator group of (odd) exponent $n \gg 1$ over which all nonsingular equations are solvable? Equivalently, is the quotient $B(m,n)/\mathcal{E}(B(m,n))$ infinite?*

Problem 2. *Does there exist an infinite m-generator group of (odd) exponent $n \gg 1$ in which all quasiidentities of finite groups hold? Equivalently, is the quotient $B(m,n)/\mathcal{F}(B(m,n))$ infinite?*

Since $\operatorname{Qvar} \mathcal{F} \subseteq \operatorname{Qvar} \mathcal{E}$, we have that $\mathcal{E}(B(m,n)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(B(m,n))$ and so a negative solution to Problem 1 would imply a negative solution to Problem 2 (we would conjecture, though, that $B(m,n) \in \operatorname{Qvar} \mathcal{E}$ for (odd) $n \gg 1$).

It is relevant to mention another problem (stated in [21], [18]).

Problem 3. *Does there exist an integer $r = r(m,n) > 0$, where $m > 1$ and n is odd, such that if G is a finite group, generated by elements $g_1, \dots, g_m$, and every word v in $g_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, g_m^{\pm 1}$ of length at most r satisfies $v^n = 1$ in G, then G has exponent n?*

Recall that a positive solution to Problem 3 for (odd) $n \gg 1$ implies the existence of Gromov hyperbolic groups [9] that are not residually finite.

As in the proof of Theorem, putting together results of [6] and [19, 20], [27], [14] (together with the classification of finite simple groups), we will show the following.

Proposition 2. *For given m and n, a positive solution to Problem 3 implies a negative solution to Problem 2.*

Proof of Theorem. Let I be a finite index set with $|I| > 1$. Consider an alphabet

$$\mathcal{U} = \{a_i, b_i, x_i, y, c \mid i \in I\}$$

and the following relations (2)–(8) in letters of $\mathcal{U}^{\pm 1} = \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{U}^{-1}$.

$$x_i c x_i^{-1} = c b_i, \quad i \in I, \tag{2}$$

$$y b_i y^{-1} = b_i a_i, \quad i \in I, \tag{3}$$

$$c^n = 1, \tag{4}$$

$$x_i b_j = b_j x_i, \quad i, j \in I, \tag{5}$$

$$a_i b_j = b_j a_i, \quad i, j \in I, \tag{6}$$

$$a_i c = c a_i, \quad i \in I, \tag{7}$$

$$y c = c y, \quad i \in I. \tag{8}$$

Let a group $\mathcal{G}$ be given by the presentation whose alphabet is $\mathcal{U}$ and whose set of defining relations consists of relations (2)–(8), thus

$$\mathcal{G} = \langle \mathcal{U} \parallel \ (2)-(8) \rangle. \tag{9}$$

Let $F(\mathcal{A})$ denote a free group in the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ and $B(\mathcal{A}, n) = F(\mathcal{A})/F(\mathcal{A})^n$ denote the free Burnside group of exponent n in the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$.

The following two lemmas are proved in [16].

Lemma 1. *The subgroup $\langle a_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ of the group $\mathcal{G}$, generated by the images of letters $a_i, i \in I$, is naturally isomorphic to $B(\mathcal{A}, n)$.*

Lemma 2. *Let $n > 2^{16}$ be odd. Then the subgroup $\langle a_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ of the group $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{n^3}$, generated by the images of letters a_i, $i \in I$, is naturally isomorphic to $B(\mathcal{A}, n)$. In particular, this subgroup $\langle a_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ is infinite.*

According to Feit and Thompson [6], every finite group of odd order is solvable. Furthermore, if F is a finite m-generator group of odd exponent n, then Kostrikin-Zelmanov results [19, 20], [27] on the restricted Burnside problem, a reduction theorem due to Hall and Higman [14] and the classification of finite simple groups imply that there is an upper bound $c(m, n)$ for the class of solvability of F.

Let us again consider relations (2)–(8) and rewrite each of them in the form $W = 1$. Construct a quasiidentity whose premise is the conjunction of all these equalities $W = 1$ and whose conclusion is $S_d(v_1, \dots, v_{2^d}) = 1$, where S_d is a "solvable" commutator of class d (so that the quotient $F(\mathcal{A})/S_d(F(\mathcal{A}))$ is the free solvable group of class d in the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$) and $v_1, \dots, v_{2^d}$ are arbitrary elements of $F(\mathcal{A})$. Picking $d = c(|I|, n)$, it is easy to see from Lemma 1 that the system of these quasiidentities (over all possible tuples $(v_1, \dots, v_{2^d})$ of elements of $F(\mathcal{A})$) holds in any group $A \in \mathcal{K}$. On the other hand, if this system holds in the group $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{n^3}$, where $\mathcal{G}$ is given by presentation (9), then the subgroup $\langle a_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ of $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{n^3}$ would have to be solvable of class d and so it would be finite. This contradiction to Lemma 2 shows that $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{n^3} \notin \operatorname{Qvar} \mathcal{K}$ and Theorem is proved. $\square$

Note that if $n = p^\alpha$, where p is a prime, then the above argument becomes simpler, because a finite group of such an exponent n is nilpotent (so we do not need to refer to [6]) and Kostrikin-Zelmanov results [19, 20], [27] directly yield the required upper bound $c(m, n)$ (so we do not need to refer to [14] and use the classification of finite simple groups).

Proof of Proposition 1. If (1) is a singular equation then $\mathcal{K}(w(y, G))$ contains the trivial group only and our claim is obvious. Hence we can assume that the equation (1) is nonsingular.

Let

$$\mathcal{X}_\ell = \{x_1, \dots, x_\ell\}, \quad w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell) = y^{\varepsilon_1} x_1 \dots y^{\varepsilon_\ell} x_\ell$$

and consider a group presentation

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_\ell, y \parallel \ u_1(\mathcal{X}_\ell) = 1, \dots, u_k(\mathcal{X}_\ell) = 1, \ w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell) = 1 \rangle, \tag{10}$$

where $u_1(\mathcal{X}_\ell), \dots, u_k(\mathcal{X}_\ell)$ are words in $\mathcal{X}_\ell^{\pm 1} = \mathcal{X}_\ell \cup \mathcal{X}_\ell^{-1}$, $k \geq 1$.

A spherical diagram Δ over presentation (10) is called $w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell)$*-regular* if the following properties (W1)–(W3) hold (for the definition of a (spherical) diagram over a group presentation the reader is referred to [25]; see also [22]).

(W1) If Π is a 2-cell of Δ and $\partial\Pi$ is the positively oriented boundary of Π then the word $\varphi(\partial\Pi)$, up to a cyclic permutation, is either $w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell)^{\pm 1}$ (in which case Π is called a *y-face*) or $u_j(\mathcal{X}_\ell)$, where $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, and for every $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ there is precisely one 2-cell Π in Δ with $\varphi(\partial\Pi) = u_j(\mathcal{X}_\ell)$ (in which case Π is called a *u_j-face*).

(W2) If $e \in \partial\Pi$ is an (oriented) edge and Π is a u_j-face in Δ then $e^{-1} \in \partial\Pi'$, where Π' is a y-face.

(W3) Every vertex o in Δ has degree 3 and if e_1, e_2, e_3 are 3 (oriented) edges whose terminal vertex is o then for one of them, say, e_1, one has $\varphi(e_1) = y^{\pm 1}$ and e_2, e_3^{-1} are consecutive edges of $\partial\Pi^{\pm 1}$, where Π is a u_j-face.

For every $w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell)$-regular spherical diagram Δ we consider k quasiidentities

$$(u_1(\mathcal{X}_\ell) = 1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_{j-1}(\mathcal{X}_\ell) = 1 \wedge u_{j+1}(\mathcal{X}_\ell) = 1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_k(\mathcal{X}_\ell) = 1) \Rightarrow u_j(\mathcal{X}_\ell) = 1$$

for $j = 1, \dots, k$.

By $\mathrm{SQ}(w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell))$ denote the system of such quasiidentities over all $w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell)$-regular spherical diagrams Δ (for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$). Finally, let $\mathrm{Qvar}(\mathrm{SQ}(w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell)))$ denote the quasivariety defined by the system $\mathrm{SQ}(w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell))$.

Lemma 3. *The class $\mathcal{K}(w(y, G))$ of groups over which the equation* (1) *is strongly solvable is* $\mathrm{Qvar}(\mathrm{SQ}(w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell)))$.

Proof. To prove that $\mathcal{K}(w(y, G)) \subseteq \mathrm{Qvar}(\mathrm{SQ}(w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell)))$, suppose, on the contrary, that G_1 is a group such that $G_1 \in \mathcal{K}(w(y, G))$ and $G_1 \notin \mathrm{Qvar}(\mathrm{SQ}(w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell)))$.

Since $G_1 \notin \mathrm{Qvar}(\mathrm{SQ}(w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell)))$, there is a quasiidentity

$$(u_1(\mathcal{X}_\ell) = 1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_{j-1}(\mathcal{X}_\ell) = 1 \wedge u_{j+1}(\mathcal{X}_\ell) = 1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_k(\mathcal{X}_\ell) = 1) \Rightarrow u_j(\mathcal{X}_\ell) = 1$$

in the system $\mathrm{SQ}(w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell))$ which does not hold in G_1.

Assume that this quasiidentity fails for an ℓ-tuple $(g_{1,1}, \dots, g_{1,\ell})$ of elements of G_1 and let Δ be the $w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell)$-regular spherical diagram used in construction of this quasiidentity. Relabelling edges of Δ whose labels are $x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_\ell^{\pm 1}$ by corresponding elements $g_{1,1}^{\pm 1}, \dots, g_{1,\ell}^{\pm 1}$ and taking u_j-face out of Δ, we will get a disk diagram Δ_0 over the relative presentation

$$\langle y, G_1 \parallel \; w(y, g_{1,1}, \dots, g_{1,\ell}) = 1 \rangle$$

so that $\varphi(\partial\Delta_0) = u_j(g_{1,1}, \dots, g_{1,\ell}) \neq 1$ in G_1. This proves that equation (1) is not strongly solvable over G_1, contrary to $G_1 \in \mathcal{K}(w(y, G))$.

To prove the converse inclusion $\mathrm{Qvar}(\mathrm{SQ}(w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell))) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(w(y, G))$, assume, on the contrary, that $G_1 \in \mathrm{Qvar}(\mathrm{SQ}(w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell)))$ and $G_1 \notin \mathcal{K}(w(y, G))$.

Since $G_1 \notin \mathcal{K}(w(y, G))$, there is an ℓ-tuple $(g_{1,1}, \dots, g_{1,\ell})$ of elements of G_1 such that the equation $w(y, g_{1,1}, \dots, g_{1,\ell}) = y^{\varepsilon_1} g_{1,1} \dots y^{\varepsilon_\ell} g_{1,\ell} = 1$ is not solvable. This means the existence of a disk diagram Δ_0 over the relative presentation $\langle y, G_1 \parallel \; w(y, g_{1,1}, \dots, g_{1,\ell}) = 1 \rangle$ so that $\varphi(\partial\Delta_0) \in G_1$ and $\varphi(\partial\Delta_0) \neq 1$ in G_1. Now it is easy to construct a $w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell)$-regular spherical diagram Δ from Δ_0 such that one of quasiidentities associated as above with Δ does not hold for the ℓ-tuple $(g_{1,1}, \dots, g_{1,\ell})$. This contradiction to $G_1 \in \mathrm{Qvar}(\mathrm{SQ}(w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell)))$ completes the proof of Lemma 3. □

The first claim of Proposition 1 follows from definitions and Lemma 3. Furthermore, in view of Lemma 3, the union $\bigcup \mathrm{SQ}(w(y, \mathcal{X}_\ell))$ over all nonsingular equations (1) is a system of quasiidentities which defines the class of groups over which all nonsingular equations are strongly solvable. Therefore, this class is a quasivariety of groups and Proposition 1 is proved. ☐

Proof of Proposition 2. Assume that Problem 3 is solved in the affirmative for given m, n and $r(m, n)$ is a required integer. As in the proof of Theorem, it follows from results of [6], [19, 20], [27], [14] (and the classification of finite simple groups) that there is a bound $c(m, n)$ for the class of solvability of an m-generator finite group of odd exponent n. As in the proof of Theorem, consider a "solvable" commutator S_d of class $d = c(m, n)$. Define a system of quasiidentities whose premise is the conjunction of equalities $u(x_1, \dots, x_m)^n = 1$, where the word $u(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ has length at most $r(m, n)$, and whose conclusion is $S_d(v_1, \dots, v_{2^d}) = 1$, where $v_1, \dots, v_{2^d}$ are arbitrary words in $\{x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}\}$. It is easy to see that every quasiidentity of this system holds in any finite group. Therefore, the subgroup $\mathcal{F}(B(m, n))$ contains the verbal subgroup $S_d(B(m, n))$ and so the quotient $B(m, n)/\mathcal{F}(B(m, n))$ is solvable and finite. This proves Proposition 2. ☐

References

[1] S.I. Adian, *The Burnside problem and identities in groups*, Nauka, Moscow, 1975; English translation: Springer-Verlag, 1979.

[2] S.V. Aleshin, *Finite automata and the Burnside problem for periodic groups*, Math. Notes **11**(1972), 199–203.

[3] S.D. Brodski, *Equations over groups and groups with one defining relation*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **35**(1980), 183.

[4] S.D. Brodski, *Equations over groups, and groups with one defining relation*, # 2214-80, VINITI, Moscow, 1980 (this is kept in depot of VINITI, Moscow, and is available upon request).

[5] S.D. Brodski, *Equations over groups, and groups with one defining relation*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **25**(1984), 84–103.

[6] W. Feit and J.G. Thompson, *Solvability of groups of odd order*, Pacific J. Math. **13**(1963), 775–1029.

[7] E.S. Golod, *On nil-algebras and residually finite p-groups*, Math. USSR Izvestiya **28**(1964), 273–276.

[8] R.I. Grigorchuk, *On the Burnside problem for periodic groups*, Funcional Anal. Appl. **14**(1980), 41–43.

[9] M. Gromov, *Hyperbolic groups*, Essays in Group Theory, M.S.R.I. Publ. 8, Springer, 1987, 75-263.

[10] N. Gupta, *On groups in which every element has finite order*, Amer. Monthly **96**(1989), 297–308.

[11] M. Gerstenhaber and O.S. Rothaus, *The solution of sets of equations in groups*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **48**(1962), 1531–1533.

[12] N. Gupta and S. Sidki, *On the Burnside problem for periodic groups*, Math. Z. **182**(1983), 385–388.

[13] J. Howie, *On pairs of 2-complexes and systems of equations over groups*, J. Reine Angew Math. **324**(1981), 165–174.

[14] Ph. Hall and G. Higman, *On the p-length of p-soluble groups and reduction theorems for Burnside's problem*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **6**(1956), 1–42.

[15] S.V. Ivanov, *The free Burnside groups of sufficiently large exponents*, Internat. J. Algebra Comp. **4**(1994), 1–308.

[16] S.V. Ivanov, *Weakly finitely presented infinite periodic groups*, Contemporary Math. **296**(2002), 139–154.

[17] S.V. Ivanov and A.Yu. Ol'shanskii, *Some applications of graded diagrams in combinatorial group theory*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol.160(1991), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge and New York, 1991, 258–308.

[18] S.V. Ivanov and A.Yu. Ol'shanskii, *Hyperbolic groups and their quotients of bounded exponents*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348**(1996), 2091–2138.

[19] A.I. Kostrikin, *On a problem of Burnside*, Math. USSR Izvestiya **23**(1959), 3–34.

[20] A.I. Kostrikin, *Around Burnside*, Nauka, Moscow, 1986; English translation: Springer-Verlag, 1990.

[21] *Kourovka Notebook: Unsolved problems in group theory*, 12th Ed., Novosibirsk, 1992.

[22] R.C. Lyndon and P.E. Schupp, *Combinatorial group theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[23] A.I. Mal'cev, *Algebraic Systems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1970; English translation: Springer-Verlag, 1973.

[24] A.Yu. Ol'shanskii, *On the Novikov-Adian theorem*, Mat. Sbornik **118**(1982), 203–235.

[25] A.Yu. Ol'shanskii, *Geometry of defining relations in groups*, Nauka, Moscow, 1989; English translation in *Math. and Its Applications* (Soviet series) **70** (Kluwer Acad. Publishers, 1991).

[26] V.I. Sushchanskii, *Periodic p-groups of permutations and the unrestricted Burnside problem*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **20**(1979), 766–770.

[27] E.I. Zelmanov, *Solution of the restricted Burnside problem for groups of odd exponent*, Math. USSR Izv. **36** (1991), 41–60.

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, U.S.A.
E-mail address: `ivanov@math.uiuc.edu`